

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION LANSING



May 24, 2010

July)

MEMORANDUM

TO: State Board of Education

FROM: Michael P. Flanagan, Chairman

SUBJECT: Approval of the Standards for the Preparation of Teachers of American Sign

Language (FS)

In pursuit of its goal to improve teacher quality, the State Board of Education (SBE) receives proposals for the adoption and revision of program standards for teacher preparation. When applicable, the proposed standards are developed to reflect and support Michigan's K-12 Curriculum Framework and Benchmarks, as well as standards adopted by national professional/specialty area organizations.

The SBE approved standards for all World Language, Bilingual Education, and English as a Second Language July 7, 2004. A need for an American Sign Language (ASL) teaching endorsement was clearly demonstrated when the SBE added ASL as one of the world languages students can learn to meet the new high school graduation requirements in the Revised School Code.

In response to the need and through the leadership of Jackie Moase-Burke, education consultant at Oakland Intermediate School District, a referent group of experts in ASL was convened and designed the proposed American Sign Language (FS) standards using the framework for the approved world language standards. The standards are designed to align with the K-12 content standards in the *Michigan Curriculum Framework*, as well as national standards from the American Council for the Teachers of Foreign Languages, the American Sign Language Teachers Association, National Association for Bilingual Education, and Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages.

In March and April 2010 a letter was sent to selected groups/associations, all Michigan teacher preparation institutions, and all intermediate and local school districts (Attachment A) asking them to review and comment on the draft standards listed on the Office of Professional Preparation Services website. As presented in Attachment B, the standards reflect the guidance provided by the SBE at the February 9, 2010 meeting and feedback received from the field review. Revisions to these standards are shown in strikethrough and capital letters.

It is recommended that the State Board of Education approve the Standards for the Preparation of Teachers of American Sign Language (FS) as attached to the Superintendent's memorandum dated May 24, 2010.

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

KATHLEEN N. STRAUS – PRESIDENT • JOHN C. AUSTIN – VICE PRESIDENT CAROLYN L. CURTIN – SECRETARY • MARIANNE YARED MCGUIRE – TREASURER NANCY DANHOF – NASBE DELEGATE • ELIZABETH W. BAUER REGINALD M. TURNER • CASANDRA E. ULBRICH

Community Colleges

Alpena Community College
Mid Michigan Community College
Bay De Noc Community College
Monroe Community College
Bay Mills Community College
Montcalm Community College
Mott College
Mott Community College
Glen Oaks Community College
Muskegon Community College
Gogebic Community College
North Central Michigan College
Grand Rapids Community College
Northwestern Michigan College
Henry Ford Community College

Oakland Community College
Jackson Community College
Saginaw Chippewa Tribal College
Kalamazoo Valley Community College
Schoolcraft College
Kellogg Community College
Kellogg Community College
Southwestern Michigan College
Kirtland Community College
St. Clair County Community College
Lake Michigan Community College
Washtenaw Community College
Lansing Community College
Wayne County Community College
Wayne County Community College
West Shore Community College

Groups

MDE-LEA-Superintendents@listserv.michigan.gov MDE-PSA-Directors@listserv.michigan.gov MDE-ISD-Superintendents@listserv.michigan.gov MDE-Non-Pubs@listserv.michigan.gov DARTEP@listserv.michigan.gov

American Association of University Professors

Tri County Alliance for Public Education

Associations

American Federation of Teachers Michigan Association of Independent Michigan Schools Michigan Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages Michigan Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development Michigan Association of Administrators of Special Education Michigan Association of Colleges of Teacher Education Michigan Association of Intermediate School Administrators Michigan Association of Nonpublic Schools Michigan Association of Public School Academies Michigan Association of School Administrators Michigan Association of Secondary School Principals Michigan Association of Teacher Educators Michigan Community College Association Michigan Education Association Michigan Elementary & Middle School Principals Association Michigan Small and Rural Schools Association Michigan World Language Association North Central Association of Colleges and Schools School Equity Caucus

National Associations

*Jason Zinza

American Sign Language Teachers Association

http://aslta.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=45&Itemid=56

*Richard Peterson

Northeastern University Boston Mass

American Sign Language Teachers Association

http://aslta.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=45&Itemid=56

State Board of Education Advisory Councils

Special Education Advisory Council Professional Standards Commission for Teachers

* A response was received from this entity

Standards for the Preparation of Teachers of

American Sign Language (FS)



Adopted by the Michigan State Board of Education

Date_____

Proposed Standards for the Preparation of Teachers of American Sign Language (FS)

Preface

Vision

Michigan students, like students throughout the United States, are living in and contributing to an increasingly diverse society and interdependent community of nations in the twenty-first century. To realize their personal, social, and long-term career goals, individuals need to be able to communicate with others skillfully, appropriately, and effectively. The challenge of contemporary education is to prepare all students for life in this new world. Because language and communication are at the heart of the human experience, the United States must equip students linguistically and culturally to communicate successfully in a pluralistic American society and abroad. This imperative envisions a future in which all students develop and maintain proficiency in English and in at least one other language.

Development of the Proposal

A need for an American Sign Language (ASL) teaching endorsement was clearly demonstrated when the State Board of Education (SBE) added ASL as one of the world languages students can learn to meet the new high school graduation requirements in the Revised School Code.

Section 380.1157b High school credit in foreign language for American sign language. Sec. 1157b. The board of a school district may grant high school credit in a foreign language to a pupil enrolled in high school who has satisfactorily completed a high school course offered in American sign language or who has attained proficiency in American sign language outside of a public or private high school curriculum.

For districts to offer ASL programs that prepare students to meet the new world language graduation requirement, ASL teachers need to be professionally prepared and highly qualified. This preparation will be made available through approved institutions of higher education that have based their curriculum on rigorous teacher preparation standards put forth in this document.

The World Language Teacher Preparation Standards Development Committee for American Sign Language was organized and met for the first time in the summer of 2008 and continued to work on this proposal until its submission in the winter of 2010. The world language committee includes ASL teachers and faculty from the Michigan School for the Deaf, Detroit Public Schools, Oakland Schools, Bloomfield Hills Public Schools, and Mott Community College.

Members have worked collaboratively to address concerns regarding the preparation of teachers in ASL that align with other World Language, Bilingual Education, and English as a Second Language endorsement areas. These proposed standards are the result of efforts by members of the deaf community as well as ASL and other world language experts in an effort to recognize and promote the teaching and learning of ASL as a world language in Michigan schools.

This committee reflects the interests of public and independent teacher preparation institutions and K-12 teachers. The standards are designed to align with the K-12 content standards in the *Michigan Curriculum Framework*, as well as national standards from the American Council for the Teachers of Foreign Languages, American Sign Language Teachers Association, National Association for Bilingual Education, and Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages.

The proposals include the following:

- For American Sign Language (FS) endorsements
 - 1. Elementary or secondary major of at least 30 semester hours
 - 2. Elementary or secondary minor of at least 20 semester hours
 - 3. Additional endorsement of at least 20 semester hours
 - 4. K-12 major (additional standards must be met for K-12 programs)
 - 5. Prerequisite: To meet the standards, all required content coursework must be beyond the first four semesters of language instruction in commonly taught languages (inclusive of Categories I and II of the Foreign Service Institute scale)

Approval of Programs

Teacher preparation institutions that wish to offer programs to prepare teachers of American Sign Language (FS) are required to submit an application for program approval that demonstrates how the new standards are met throughout the proposed curriculum. Following initial approval, the teacher preparation program will be reviewed through the national accreditation process.

Content/Guidelines Standards Matrix

| College/University | | | Code | FS |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Source of Guidelines/Standards | Michigan State Board of Education | Program/Subject Area | American Sign L | anguage |

Requirements for American Sign Language (FS) endorsement options:

- Elementary or secondary major of at least 30 semester hours
- Elementary or secondary minor of at least 20 semester hours
- · Additional endorsement of at least 20 semester hours
- K-12 major (additional standards are required for K-12 programs)

Prerequisite: To meet the standards, all required content coursework must be beyond the first four semesters of language instruction in commonly taught languages (inclusive of Categories I and II of the Foreign Service Institute (FSI) scale).

The Levels of Proficiency are:

A = Awareness: Possesses general knowledge

B = Basic: Ability to understand and apply

C = Comprehensive: High level of understanding, applying, and reflecting

* = These standards do not have levels of proficiency. Programs either meet, or do not meet these standards.

Directions: List required courses on matrix and provide additional narrative to explain how standards are met. If electives are included, they should be clearly indicated. Adjust size of cells as needed.

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards | |
|-------|---|--|--|------------------|
| 1.0 | Language, Linguistics, Comparisons Teacher preparation institutions provide candidates opportunities to develop and demonstrate: | | | |
| 1.1.1 | At least a level 3 proficiency (equivalent to Advanced-low of | * | | Elementary |
| | proficiency in Category I & II languages FSI scale) in American Sign Language (ASL) using a variety of testing protocols, including assessment of comprehension and | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | Major | Secondary Minor: |
| | expressive communication. | | Major: | WITHOT: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 1.1.2 | Proficiency descriptors for interpersonal, interpretive, | * | Elementary | |
| 1.1.2 | presentational See attached chart from ASL National Standards (Appendix | × | Major: | Minor: |
| | A) | | Secondary | |
| | | | Major: | - |
| | | Ti de la companya de | Secondary | |
| | | | Major: | |
| | Testing protocols | * | | Elementary |
| 1.1.3 | Preferred: | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | American Sign Language Proficiency Interview (ASLPI) Sign Language Proficiency Interview (SLPI): American Sign Language (ASL) (continued) | | Major: | Minor: |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Courses and | olaining how Required for Experiences Fulfill e Standards |
|-----|---|-------------------------|-------------|---|
| | Possible alternative interpreter assessments FOR THOSE PASSING THESE TESTS PRIOR TO SBE STANDARDS APPROVAL: | | Major: | K-12 |
| | American Sign Language Teacher Association Certification (ASLTA Certification) | | | |
| | Board for Evaluation of Interpreters (BEI) AT A MINIMUM LEVEL OF 2 | | | |
| | National Interpreter Certificate (NIC) | | | |
| | Educational Interpreter Performance Assessment (EIPA) AT A MINIMUM LEVEL OF 4 | | | |
| | (The assessments will be adjusted to align with the final determination of the Department of Energy Labor and Economic Growth - DIVISION ON Department of Deaf and Hard of Hearing.) | | | |
| 1.2 | Knowledge of the linguistic elements of ASL English and its | | E | lementary |
| 1.2 | varieties. | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | S | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Courses and | plaining how Required I/or Experiences Fulfill ne Standards |
|-----|--|----------------------|-------------|---|
| 1.3 | An understanding of the dynamic nature of language systems and demonstrate competency in helping students acquire and | С | Major: | Elementary Minor: |
| | use ASL in comprehension and expressive modes, for social and academic purposes. | | | |
| | and dedderme purposes. | | | Secondary |
| | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 1.4 | Knowledge of the similarities and differences between English | С | Elementary | |
| 1.4 | and ASL. | , o | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | Secondary | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 2.0 | Cultures, Literatures, Cross-Disciplinary Concepts Teacher preparation institutions provide candidates opportunities to develop and demonstrate: | | | |
| 2.1 | Knowledge, understanding, and use of the major concepts, | С | | Elementary |
| | principles, theories, and research related to the nature and role of culture in language development and academic | | Major: | Minor: |
| | achievement that support student learning. | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------------|--|------------|--|--------|--------|
| | | | Major: | K-12 | | | |
| | | | iviajoi : | | | | |
| 2.2 | Application of knowledge and understanding of how the deaf | С | | Elementary | | | |
| 2.2 | community and deaf students' cultural identities affect language learning and school achievement. | Major: | Minor: | | | | |
| | | | | Secondary | | | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: | | | |
| | | | | K-12 | | | |
| | | | Major: | | | | |
| 2.3 | An understanding of the value and role of ASL storytelling | С | Elementary | | | | |
| 2.5 | and use these cultural texts to interpret and reflect upon the perspectives of cultures over time. | | Major: | Minor: | | | |
| | perspectives of santares ever time. | | Secondary | | | | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: | | | |
| | | | | K-12 | | | |
| | | | Major: | | | | |
| 2.4 | An understanding of the connections among perspectives of | С | | Elementary | | | |
| 2.7 | cultures, their practices and products, and be able to make comparisons. | | Major: | Minor: | | | |
| | | | | Secondary | | | |
| | | | | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 | | | |
| | | | Major: | | | | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Courses and th | plaining how Required I/or Experiences Fulfill ne Standards |
|-----|--|----------------------|----------------|---|
| 2.5 | Ability to recognize distinctive cultural viewpoints of multiple | С | | Elementary |
| | content areas available only through ASL the target language. | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 3.0 | Language Acquisition Theories and Instructional Practice Teacher preparation institutions provide candidates opportunities to develop and demonstrate: | es | | |
| 3.1 | An understanding of language acquisition theories and | С | Elementary | |
| | research. | | Major: Minor: | |
| | | | Secondary | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 3.2 | Ability to use language acquisition knowledge to create a | С | | Elementary |
| 3.2 | supportive classroom learning environment that includes USES ASL input and AS THE PRIMARY MEANS OF | | Major: | Minor: |
| | INSTRUCTION AND PROVIDES opportunities for negotiation of | | | Secondary |
| | meaning and meaningful interaction. | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Narrative Explaining how Require Courses and/or Experiences Fulfil the Standards | |
|-----|--|-------------------------|--|------------|
| 3.3 | A variety of instructional practices that produce language | В | | Elementary |
| | outcomes PROFICIENCY through an articulated program that address the needs of diverse language learners. | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 3.4 | Knowledge and use of a variety of strategies for instructional | В | | Elementary |
| 3.4 | management that facilitates language acquisition and student achievement for second language learners. | _ | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | Major: Minor: | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 4.0 | Integration of Standards Into Curriculum and Instruction Teacher preparation institutions provide candidates opportunities to develop and demonstrate: | า | | |
| 4.1 | An understanding of the standards and benchmarks of the | С | | Elementary |
| | Michigan Curriculum Framework, Michigan World Language Standards and Benchmarks, and the Standards for Learning American Sign Language (national standards) in curricular planning. | | Major: Minor: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards | |
|-----|---|-------------------------|--|------------|
| | | | Maion | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 4.2 | Ability to integrate the Michigan World Language Standards | В | | Elementary |
| 1.2 | and Benchmarks and the Michigan Curriculum Framework into language instruction. | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 4.3 | Ability to use standards and benchmarks to evaluate, select, design, and adapt instructional resources by connecting curriculum to students' experiences and skills of home and | С | Elementary | |
| 1.0 | | Major: Minor: | Major: | Minor: |
| | community. | | | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 4.4 | Ability to serve as an effective model of English (READING | С | | Elementary |
| 4.4 | AND WRITING) and ASL for developing comprehension and expressive skills. | J | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Courses and | plaining how Required For Experiences Fulfill the Standards | |
|-----|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 4.5 | Understanding and utilization of strategies that promote | В | I | Elementary | |
| | student competence in using critical thinking skills in conjunction with learning the target language ENGLISH | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | (READING AND WRITING) AND ASL. | | | | |
| | | | Major: | | |
| | | | | K-12 | |
| | | | Major: | | |
| 4.6 | Familiarity with and adaptive use of a wide range of | В | Elementary | | |
| 1.0 | standards-based materials, resources, and technologies in effective world languages and content teaching. | | Elementary Major: Minor: Secondary Major: Minor: K-12 Major: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | K-12 | |
| | | | | | |
| 4.7 | Skills in supporting world language students STUDYING ASL | В | | Elementary | |
| 4.7 | AS A WORLD LANGUAGE as they access the core curriculum and learn language and academic content together. | | | | |
| | | | | Secondary | |
| | | | Minor: | | |
| | | | | K-12 | |
| | | | Major: | | |
| | | | | | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Courses and th | plaining how Required I/or Experiences Fulfill ne Standards |
|-----|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 4.8 | Knowledge of past and current second language teaching | Α | | Elementary |
| | methodologies and their applicability in developing instructional practices and resources to meet the needs of individual learners. | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 5.0 | Assessment of Languages and Cultures Teacher preparation institutions provide candidates opportunities to develop and demonstrate: | <u> </u> | | |
| 5.1 | Knowledge of the ongoing nature of assessment and multiple | В | Elementary | |
| | age- and level-appropriate ways to assess that provides purposeful outcome data. | | Major: Minor: Secondary | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | Elementary Major: Minor: | |
| | | | Major: | |
| 5.2 | Reflective assessment practices including: analysis of | В | | Elementary |
| 0.2 | assessment results, adjustment of instruction based on assessment results, and use of outcome data to determine | | Major: | Minor: |
| | the direction of instruction. | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Courses and | plaining how Required Vor Experiences Fulfill se Standards |
|-----|---|----------------------|---|--|
| 5.3 | Ability to interpret and communicate the results of student | В | i i | Elementary |
| | performances to all stakeholders and provide opportunity for discussion of the interpretations and decisions based on the | | Major: | Minor: |
| | information. | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: Elementary Major: Minor: Secondary Minor: | |
| 5.4 | Knowledge of various assessment issues (e.g., cultural and | С | ı | Elementary |
| 3.4 | linguistic bias, political, social, and psychological factors). | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | Major: K-12 Major: | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5.5 | Knowledge of the value of assessment data for demonstration | В | I | Elementary |
| 0.0 | of language proficiency and academic achievement of world language student. | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 6.0 | Professionalism Teacher preparation institutions provide candidates opportunities to develop and demonstrate: | 1 | | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Courses and | kplaining how Required d/or Experiences Fulfill ne Standards | |
|-----|--|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 6.1 | Linguistic and cultural competence through reflective | С | | Elementary | |
| | practices. | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | Secondary | | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | | K-12 | |
| | | | Major: | Major: Elementary | |
| 6.2 | Knowledge of the value of multilingualism to the overall | В | | Elementary | |
| 0.2 | success of all students and understands the teacher's role as an advocate for students, colleagues, and members of the | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | community in promoting multilingualism. | | Secondary | | |
| | | | Major: Minor: K-12 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Major: | | |
| 6.3 | Ability to identify, analyze, and reflect upon professional | С | Elementary | | |
| 0.0 | knowledge and language proficiency, and seek resources for improvement. | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | Secondary | Secondary | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | | K-12 | |
| | | | Major: | K-12 | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards | |
|-----|--|----------------------|--|-------------------|
| 6.4 | Knowledge of legislative impact on the teaching in programs | Α | E | Ilementary |
| 0.1 | for world languages and specifically ASL. | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 6.5 | Knowledge of the teacher's role as a professional within a discipline. | Α | Elementary | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | | Major: Secondary Major: Minor: K-12 Major: Elementary | |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | |
| 6.6 | Knowledge of the history and current state of teaching world languages specifically ASL as it affects public policy and advocacy issues. | В | Elementary | |
| 0.0 | | | Major: | Minor: |
| | | | | Secondary |
| | | Major: Minor: | | |
| | | | | K-12 |
| | | | Major: | 17 12 |
| | | | | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards | | |
|-----|---|----------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| 6.7 | An ability to serve as a professional resource, an advocate for students, and an ability to build partnerships with students' families and communities. | В | Major: | Elementary Minor: | |
| | ramilies and communities. | | Secondary | | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | K-12 | | |
| | | | Major: | | |
| 7.0 | Institutional Resources, Coordination, and Commitment Teacher preparation institutions provide candidates presented in the structures that demonstrate a capacity for coordination resources, and the commitment toward a quality programment. | n of | | | |
| 7.1 | The program provides an administrative and governance | * | Elementary | | |
| | structure that encourages collaboration across content and teacher preparation programs. | | Major: | Major: Minor: | |
| | | | Secondary | | |
| | | | Major: | | |
| | | | K-12 | K-12 | |
| | | | Major: | | |
| 7.2 | The program provides adequate resources, both human and material; to insure the world languages teacher preparation program is equivalent in stature to other teacher preparation programs. | * | Elementary | | |
| 7.2 | | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | | Secondary | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | K-12 | | |
| | | | Major: | | |

| No. | Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards | | |
|-----|--|-------------------------|---|-----------|--|
| 7.3 | The program provides a methods course with specific age- | * | Elementary | | |
| | appropriate strategies of instruction for world language learners and collaboratively supports structured field | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | experiences. | | | | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | K-12 | | |
| | | | Major: | | |
| 7.4 | The program provides an assessment system that regularly | * | Elementary | | |
| 7.1 | evaluates the effectiveness of the program and its faculty and staff. | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | | Secondary | |
| | | | Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards Elementary Major: Minor: Secondary Major: K-12 Major: Elementary Major: Minor: Secondary Major: Minor: Secondary Major: Minor: Elementary Major: Minor: K-12 Major: K-12 Major: K-12 Major: Minor: Elementary Major: Minor: K-12 Major: Minor: Secondary Major: Minor: Secondary Major: Minor: | | |
| | | | K-12 | | |
| | | | Major: | | |
| 7.5 | The program provides candidates with access to the appropriate testing opportunities, options, and procedures to demonstrate language proficiency. | * | Flementary | | |
| 7.5 | | | Major: | | |
| | | | | Secondary | |
| | | | Major: | Minor: | |
| | | | K-12 | | |
| | | | Major: | | |

| Guideline/Standard | Level of Proficiency | Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| K-12 endorsement program must provide candidates with the experiences: | | |
| Structured field experiences (inclusive of student teaching) in three areas: elementary, middle school, and high school. | * | |
| Coursework in growth and development for early childhood and adolescent learners. | * | |
| Preparation in instructional methods with specific strategies of instruction for students learning ASL and collaboratively supports structured field experiences appropriate to all levels of certification. | * | |

Framework of ASL Communicative Modes

| | Context | Interpersonal | Interpretive | Presentational |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | In person, live | Direct face-to-face communication | Receptive face-to-face communication and comprehension of visual materials | Presenting to an audience with whom there is no personal contact or one-to-many mode |
| | | | | Presenting to an audience with whom there is personal contact |
| | | | | Author or creator of recorded material not known personally to viewer |
| Definitions | In person, digital | Direct digital communication between individuals who are in personal contact | Receptive face-to-face digital communication and comprehension of visual materials | Digitally presenting to an audience with whom there is no personal contact or one-to-many mode |
| Def | | | | Digitally presenting to an audience with whom there is personal contact |
| | | | | Author or creator of recorded material not known personally to viewer |
| | Not in person, digital, not live | Indirect digital communication between individuals who are in personal | Viewing recorded communication and/or visual materials | Digitally recording material not known to receiver |
| | | contact | Viewing recorded material whose creator is absent | Digitally recording a message to a known receiver |
| | Face-to-face, live | Signing, attending | Viewing or attending | Signing |
| Paths | Face-to-face, digital | Signing for digital communication, attending | Viewing or attending | Signing for digital communication |
| Δ | Not face-to- face, not live | Signing for digital communication, attending | Viewing or attending | Signing for digital communication |

| | Context | Interpersonal | Interpretive | Presentational | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Cultural Knowledge | All contexts | Knowledge of cultural perspectives governing individuals of different ages, statuses, and backgrounds Ability to recognize that languages use different practices to communicate Ability to recognize that cultures use different patterns of interaction | Knowledge of how cultural perspectives are embedded in products (literary and artistic) Knowledge of how meaning is encoded in products Ability to analyze content, compare it to information available in own language and assess linguistic and cultural differences Ability to analyze and compare content in | Knowledge of cultural perspectives governing interactions between the signer and viewer Knowledge of cultural perspectives governing interactions between signing digital messages and the viewer Ability to present crosscultural information based on background of the audience Ability to recognize that cultures use different | | |
| | | | Deaf culture to interpret other cultures | patterns of interaction | | |
| | KNOWLEDGE OF THE LINGUISTIC SYSTEM | | | | | |
| | | The use of visual-spatial grammar, including lexical, phonological, morphological, | | | | |
| | | semantic, pragmatic, and discourse features necessary for participation in the | | | | |
| | Communicative Modes. | | | | | |

Glossary

ACTFL American Council for the Teachers of Foreign Languages

ASD American School for the Deaf

ASL American Sign Language

ASLPI American Sign Language Proficiency Interview
ASLTA American Sign Language Teachers Association

BEI Board for Evaluation of Interpreters

EIPA Educational Interpreter Performance Assessment

FSI Foreign Service Institute

NAD National Association for the Deaf

SLPI Sign Language Proficiency Interview